

Pre- and post-gel size distributions in (ir)reversible polymerisation

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1983 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 16 L327

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/16/10/003>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 129.252.86.83

The article was downloaded on 30/05/2010 at 16:14

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Pre- and post-gel size distributions in (ir)reversible polymerisation

P van Dongen and M H Ernst

Institute for Theoretical Physics, Princetonplein 5, PO Box 80.006, 3508 TA Utrecht, The Netherlands

Received 29 March 1983

Abstract. A class of irreversible coagulation processes can be modelled by Smoluchowski's coagulation equation with rate constants $K_{ij} = A + B(i + j) + Cij$ (non-negative A , B and C). For $C \neq 0$ a gelation transition occurs. We obtain explicit solutions for the size distribution $c_k(t)$ with $c_k(0) = \delta_{k1}$. Next, we construct and solve the equations for reversible polymerisation by incorporating break-up processes in the kinetic equation with a unimolecular fragmentation rate $F_{ij} = \lambda N_i N_j K_{ij} / N_{i+j}$. The degeneracy factors N_k obey $(k - 1)N_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum K_{ij} N_i N_j$ with $i + j = k$ and $N_1 = 1$, and the strength parameter $\lambda = \exp(g/k_B T)$, where the binding energy $g \rightarrow -\infty$ for irreversible coagulation. Here explicit results are only given for Flory's polymerisation models RA_f and BRA_{f-1} . In the vicinity of the gel point we verify the scaling hypothesis and calculate critical exponents.

Smoluchowski's equation for rapid coagulation models the time evolution of the size distribution $c_k(t)$ in coagulation processes, such as polymerisation, clustering of colloidal particles, aerosols, red blood cells etc (Drake 1972), in the following form:

$$\dot{c}_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=k} K_{ij} c_i c_j - c_k \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} K_{kj} c_j \tag{1}$$

to be solved subject to the monodisperse initial condition $c_k(0) = \delta_{k1}$. The purpose of the present letter is first to determine the global solution of (1) for the general bilinear kernel $K_{ij} = A + B(i + j) + Cij$ with A , B , C non-negative, and next, to extend these results by including break-up or fragmentation effects.

The terms in the kinetic equation (1) represent the gain and loss of k -clusters. The rate at which i - and j -clusters combine is given by $K_{ij} c_i c_j$. Several polymerisation models of Flory and Stockmayer correspond to special cases of the bilinear kernel: the RA_f model (A - A bonds, no cycles, monomers with f equireactive A -groups ($f = 2, 3, 4, \dots$), and $K_{ij} = \sigma_i \sigma_j$ with $\sigma_k = (f - 2)k + 2$) and the $B_g RA_f$ model (A - B bonds, no cycles, monomers with f equireactive A -groups ($f = 1, 2, \dots$) and g equireactive B -groups ($g = 1, 2, \dots$), and $K_{ij} = s_i(A) s_j(B) + s_i(B) s_j(A)$) with $s_k(A) = (f - 1)k + 1$ and $s_k(B) = (g - 1)k + 1$). In these models the coagulation kernels are proportional to the number of possibilities to form an $(i + j)$ -mer out of an i -mer and a j -mer.

An important property of (1) is the conservation of total mass of sol particles (finite size clusters): $M_1(t) = 0$, which applies as long as $\sum_{i,j} i K_{ij} c_i c_j < \infty$. The instant t_c (gel point), at which $\sum_{i,j} i K_{ij} c_i c_j$ diverges, marks the onset of gelation, characterised by $M_1(t) < 0$. This property is interpreted as the formation of a superparticle (gel),

whose mass is comparable to that of the entire system. Such a gelation transition occurs at a finite time t_c for coagulation kernels with $C \neq 0$, as we shall see below.

For later use we introduce the n th moment of the size distribution $M_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^n c_k(t)$, where M_0 and M_1 denote respectively the total number and total mass of sol particles.

Pre-gelation solutions to (1) of the general form $c_k(t) = \mathcal{A}(t)N_k(\xi(t))^k$ with $c_k(0) = \delta_{k1}$ are well known for the models RA_f and BRA_{f-1} (Ziff and Stell 1980, Cohen and Benedek 1982). Drake (1972) was able to write down a formal series for the pre-gelation solution with arbitrary A, B and C and with $c_k(0) = \delta_{k1}$. Spouge (1983a, b) showed that the general bilinear kernel allows solutions of the above form, without giving the explicit time dependence of $\mathcal{A}(t)$ and $\xi(t)$. *Post-gelation solutions* to (1) have only recently been found by Ziff (1980) and Ziff and Stell for the RA_f model and by Leyvraz and Tschudi (1981) for the RA_{∞} model. In addition, Leyvraz and Tschudi were able to prove the existence of global solutions (valid for all t) for general initial conditions.

In order to determine pre-gelation solutions, we introduce

$$\beta_k(t) = \sum_i K_{ki} c_i(t) = Ck + B + (Bk + A)M_0(t)$$

$$c_k(t) = a_k(\theta) \exp\left(-\int_0^t \beta_k(t') dt'\right) \quad \theta(t) = \int_0^t dt' \exp\left(-\int_0^{t'} \beta_0(t'') dt''\right) \quad (2)$$

and transform (1) into $da_k/d\theta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=k} K_{ij} a_i a_j$. Its solution with $a_k(0) = \delta_{k1}$ reads $a_k(\theta) = N_k \theta^{k-1}$, where the coefficients N_k satisfy the recursion relation

$$(k-1)N_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=k} K_{ij} N_i N_j \quad (N_1 = 1). \quad (3)$$

Hence the pre-gelation solution ($t < t_c$) can be written as $c_k(t) = \mathcal{A} N_k \xi^k$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(t) &= \theta^{-1} \exp\left(-\int_0^t [B + AM_0(t')] dt'\right) = \frac{\theta}{\theta} \\ \xi(t) &= \theta \exp\left(-\int_0^t [C + BM_0(t')] dt'\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The so-called degeneracy factors N_k for the models RA_f and BRA_{f-1} have been recently reviewed by Cohen and Benedek, and new results for the model $B_g RA_f$ have been found by Spouge (1983c). For our purpose only the large- k behaviour of N_k is of interest, which shall be determined below.

To obtain explicit expressions for \mathcal{A} and ξ in (4) we derive the following moment equations from (1):

$$\dot{M}_0 = -\frac{1}{2}(AM_0^2 + 2BM_0M_1 + CM_1^2) \quad (\text{all } t) \quad \dot{M}_2 = A + 2BM_2 + CM_2^2 \quad (t < t_c). \quad (5)$$

If $C = 0$, this equation shows that $M_2(t) < \infty$ and consequently $\sum_{i,j} iK_{ij} c_i c_j < \infty$ for all $t < \infty$. Hence $M_1(t) = 1$ for all finite t , and no gelation occurs. If $C \neq 0$, the solution $M_2(t)$ of (5) diverges at a finite time t_c (gel point), and $M_1(t) = 1$ only for $t < t_c$. The value of the time t_c (where $M_2(t_c) = \infty$) has already been obtained by Drake, who suggested (apparently unaware of the phase transition at $t = t_c$) that the kinetic equation (1) was physically meaningless for $t > t_c$, and implied that the bilinear kernel with $C \neq 0$ is not a physically valid coagulation kernel. Similar conclusions are implied in other publications (e.g. McLeod 1964, Klett 1975).

In order to solve (5) we distinguish between three cases: $B^2 > AC$, $B^2 = AC$ and $B^2 < AC$, and define

$$m_0(\tau) = M_0(t) + B/A \quad m_2(\tau) = M_2(t) + B/C \quad \mu_0^2 = B^2/A^2 - C/A$$

$$\tau = \frac{1}{2}At.$$

For $B^2 > AC$ and $\tau < \tau_c = \frac{1}{2}At_c$, we find the pre-gelation solutions (Drake 1972)

$$m_0(\tau) = \mu_0 \coth \mu_0(\tau_0 + \tau) \quad m_2(\tau) = (A\mu_0/C) \coth 2\mu_0(\tau_c - \tau)$$

$$\tau_0 = (1/\mu_0) \tanh^{-1}[A\mu_0/(B + A)] \quad \tau_c = (1/2\mu_0) \tanh^{-1}[A\mu_0/(B + C)].$$
(6)

The case $B^2 < AC$ can be obtained by everywhere replacing μ_0 by $i|\mu_0|$ (where $i = \sqrt{-1}$), leading to goniometric rather than hyperbolic functions. Taking the limit $\mu_0 \rightarrow 0$ will yield the corresponding results for $B^2 = AC$. We shall restrict ourselves to $B^2 > AC$. The result (6) is combined with (2) to yield

$$\int_0^t \beta_0(t') dt' = 2 \log[(\sinh \mu_0(\tau + \tau_0))/(\sinh \mu_0\tau_0)]$$

$$\theta(t) = (2/\mu_0 A)(\sinh \mu_0\tau)(\sinh \mu_0\tau_0)/(\sinh \mu_0(\tau + \tau_0)),$$
(7)

from which the coefficients (4) in the pre-gelation solution can be deduced:

$$\mathcal{A}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\mu_0 A (\sinh \mu_0\tau_0)/[(\sinh \mu_0\tau)(\sinh \mu_0(\tau + \tau_0))]$$

$$\xi(t) = (2/\mu_0 A)(\sinh \mu_0\tau)[(\sinh \mu_0\tau_0)/(\sinh \mu_0(\tau + \tau_0))]^{1+2B/A} \exp(2\mu_0^2\tau).$$
(8)

This result constitutes the solution $c_k(t) = \mathcal{A}N_k\xi^k$ of (1) for $t < t_c$ with monodisperse initial conditions and arbitrary A , B and C . According to Spouge (1983a) such solutions are only possible when the kernel is bilinear. In the special case $C = 0$ the solution $c_k(t) = \mathcal{A}N_k\xi^k$ is valid for all t .

Next, we consider *post-gelation solutions*. Smoluchowski's equation also allows a solution of the form

$$c_k(t) = c_k(t_c)/[1 + b(t - t_c)]$$
(9)

provided $c_k(t_c)$ satisfies the relation

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=k} K_{ij}c_i(t_c)c_j(t_c) = (\beta_k(t_c) - b)c_k(t_c) = (C + BM_0(t_c))(k - 1)c_k(t_c).$$
(10)

The second equality follows from the solubility requirement $b = \beta_1(t_c)$. The solution reads $c_k(t_c) = (C + BM_0(t_c))N_kR^k$ on account of (3), where R is some (as yet) undetermined constant. The solutions (9) are post-gelation solutions, since the sol mass $M_1(t) = [1 + b(t - t_c)]^{-1}$ is no longer constant. The following argument shows that the pre- and post-gelation solutions can be matched, i.e. $c_k(t_c^+) = c_k(t_c^-)$, such that $c_k(t)$ is continuously differentiable at $t = t_c$. The $c_k(t)$ are continuous (and as solutions to (1) continuously differentiable at t_c), provided we choose $R = \xi_c \equiv \xi(t_c)$ (a subscript 'c' will denote values at $t = t_c$) and provided the following relation is valid:

$$\mathcal{A}_c = \frac{1}{2}A\mu_0 \coth \mu_0\tau_c - \frac{1}{2}A\mu_0 \coth \mu_0(\tau_c + \tau_0) \stackrel{?}{=} C + BM_0(t_c)$$

$$= -A\mu_0^2 + B\mu_0 \coth \mu_0(\tau_c + \tau_0).$$
(11)

On the first line the relation $\mathcal{A}_c = \dot{\theta}_c/\theta_c$ has been used in combination with (7); on the second line the expression for $m_0(\tau_c)$ has been inserted. With the help of (6) we find

after a straightforward but lengthy calculation

$$\text{RHS}(11) = \text{LHS}(11) = C + B/(1 + (K_{11}/C)^{1/2}),$$

proving the matching condition (11). This calculation also yields

$$M_0(t_c) = [1 + (K_{11}/C)^{1/2}]^{-1} \quad b = \beta_1(t_c) = (K_{11}C)^{1/2} + B/[1 + (C/K_{11})^{1/2}]. \quad (12)$$

In summary: for arbitrary non-negative A, B and C (with $C \neq 0$) the post-gel solution (9) will be reached for monodisperse initial conditions.

In the following part we show that $c_k(t_c) \approx q_0 k^{-\tau}$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$) and discuss the properties of $c_k(t)$ near the gel point. The large- k behaviour of $c_k(t_c) \equiv [C + BM_0(t_c)]n_k$ can be determined from the small- x behaviour of the generating functions; for $x \uparrow 0$ we have

$$G(x) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_k e^{kx} \approx P_0 + P_1 x + \dots \quad F(x) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kn_k e^{kx} \approx P_1 + \dots$$

where the quantities

$$P_0 \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_k = M_0(t_c)[C + BM_0(t_c)]^{-1} \quad P_1 \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kn_k = [C + BM_0(t_c)]^{-1}$$

are given through (12). We first observe that the n_k satisfy the recursion relations (3) on account of (10), implying

$$F = C^{-1}\{1 - BG - [(1 - BG)^2 - ACG^2 - 2CG]^{1/2}\}.$$

The dominant small- x singularity in $F(x)$ is a branch point, since the argument of the square root on the RHS of this equation vanishes for the given value of $G(0) = P_0$, and we find

$$F(x)/P_1 \approx 1 - [-2bx/C]^{1/2} \quad (x \uparrow 0).$$

The above branch point singularity implies, according to Hendriks *et al* (1983), an algebraic tail in $c_k(t_c)$ of the form $c_k(t_c) \approx (b/2\pi C)^{1/2} k^{-5/2}$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$). The critical properties of $c_k(t)$ can be obtained most easily by considering $c_k(t)/c_k(t_c) = Q^k(t \uparrow t_c)$ in (2) and expanding $\log Q$ in powers of $(t - t_c)$, where

$$\log Q = \log\left(\frac{\theta}{\theta_c}\right) - \int_{t_c}^t [C + BM_0(t')] dt' \approx -\frac{1}{2}Cb(t - t_c)^2 \quad (t \uparrow t_c). \quad (13)$$

The coefficient of $(t - t_c)$ in (13) vanishes on account of the matching condition (11), and the coefficient of $(t - t_c)^2$ has been simplified by using the relation $\dot{M}_0(t_c) = -bM_0(t_c)$. The resulting scaling form for the size distribution in the scaling limit $t \uparrow t_c$, $k \rightarrow \infty$ with $k(t_c - t)^{1/\sigma}$ fixed, becomes

$$c_k(t) = (b/2\pi C)^{1/2} k^{-\tau} \exp[-\frac{1}{2}Cbk(t_c - t)^{1/\sigma}]$$

where the critical exponents $\tau = \frac{5}{2}$ and $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ are universal within the class of models with $K_{ij} = Cij + B(i + j) + A$ and arbitrary non-negative A, B and C with $C \neq 0$. The method of Ernst *et al* (1982) can be applied to calculate the remaining critical exponents and critical amplitudes, and one finds that the model with a general bilinear kernel belongs to the same universality class as the simple RA_∞ model with $K_{ij} = ij$. Inclusion of fragmentation effects, to be discussed below, will introduce a fourth model parameter λ (measuring the fragmentation strength), but does not change the universality class.

The previous kinetic theories of coagulation (including the polymerisation models B_gRA_f and RA_f) describe irreversible polymerisation and only allow for trivial stationary solutions $c_k(\infty) = 0$. The most probable solutions in the Flory–Stockmayer (FS) theory of polymerisation, with an arbitrarily prescribed value of the extent of reaction α , can only appear as stationary solutions in models for reversible polymerisation. In the remaining part of this letter we include fragmentation in such a way that the FS solutions appear as the stationary solutions of (14). Let F_{ij} be the rate coefficient for the unimolecular fragmentation process of a k -mer into an i -mer and a j -mer; then we have the kinetic equation for reversible polymerisation in the form

$$\dot{c}_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=k} (K_{ij}c_i c_j - F_{ij}c_k) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (K_{kj}c_k c_j - F_{kj}c_{k+j}) \tag{14}$$

to be solved subject to the initial condition $c_k(0) = \delta_{k1}$. Since all bonds within a k -mer are equivalent, we impose that the total fragmentation rate of a k -mer is proportional to the number of bonds, i.e. $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=k} F_{ij} = \lambda(k-1)$. By Arrhenius’s law, λ is proportional to the Boltzmann factor $\exp(g/k_B T)$, where g is the free energy of a single bond, k_B is Boltzmann’s constant and T the temperature.

Furthermore the existence of stationary solutions $c_k(\infty)$ imposes the following detailed balance condition on the fragmentation coefficient: $F_{ij}c_{i+j}(\infty) = K_{ij}c_i(\infty)c_j(\infty)$. Combining both conditions yields a recursion relation for $c_k(\infty)$, related to (3), whose solution is $c_k(\infty) = \lambda(c_1(\infty)/\lambda)^k N_k$, where N_k is defined in (3). Hence, detailed balance has enabled us to construct for a given coagulation kernel K_{ij} the fragmentation kernel in the form

$$F_{ij} = \lambda K_{ij} N_i N_j / N_{i+j} \tag{15}$$

The solution (pre-gel solution) of the kinetic equation (14) for reversible polymerisation ($\lambda \neq 0$) in the absence (presence) of gelation is given by the solution $c_k(t)$ to (1) for irreversible polymerisation ($\lambda = 0$), provided t is replaced by the solution $t^*(t)$ of the equation $dt^*/dt = 1 - \lambda/\mathcal{A}(t^*)$ with $t^*(0) = 0$, where $\mathcal{A}(t)$ is given by (8). This equation can be solved explicitly for the general bilinear kernel and the result shows that for bilinear kernels with $C \neq 0$ the gelation transition is suppressed for $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, where λ_0 is some critical fragmentation strength. The gel point $t_c(\lambda)$ for $\lambda < \lambda_0$ can be calculated from the equation $t^*(t_c) = t_c^*$, where t_c^* is the gel point for irreversible coagulation, calculated in (6). In the post-gel state ($t > t_c$) the equation for $t^*(t)$ reads $dt^*/dt = 1 - \lambda[1 + b(t^* - t_c^*)]/\mathcal{A}_c$, with initial value $t^*(t_c) = t_c^*$.

As an illustration of the results for reversible polymerisation we give the solutions for the polymerisation models RA_f (pre-gelation) and BRA_{f-1} . The solution of (14) can be constructed along the same lines, as followed by Ziff. The first step is to find the most probable solutions for the RA_f and BRA_{f-1} models respectively (see Cohen and Benedek 1982)

$$c_k = (\alpha/f)^{k-1} (1-\alpha)^{(f-2)k+2} N_k \qquad c_k = \alpha^{k-1} (1-\alpha)^{(f-1)k+1} [1 - (f-1)\alpha] N_k \tag{16}$$

where the N_k are the solutions of (3) for the corresponding coagulation kernels K_{ij} , and α is the extent of reaction or fraction of reacted A -groups. The next step is to replace α in (16) by the time dependent solution $\alpha(t)$ of the macroscopic rate equations, which read for these models respectively

$$\dot{\alpha} = f(1-\alpha)^2 - \lambda\alpha \qquad \dot{\alpha} = (1-\alpha)[1 - (f-1)\alpha] - \lambda\alpha \tag{17}$$

with initial condition $\alpha(0) = 0$. The solutions are standard. In the RA_f model gelation

occurs at $\alpha_c = (f - 1)^{-1}$. For sufficiently large values of λ , α approaches a stationary value smaller than α_c , so that the phase transition is suppressed. This happens for $\lambda > \lambda_0 = f(f - 2)^2 / (f - 1)$. Post-gel solutions (existing for $\lambda < \lambda_0$) can be constructed from (9) in a similar way. A detailed discussion of the kinetic theory of reversible polymerisation will be published elsewhere.

References

- Cohen R J and Benedek G B 1982 *J. Phys. Chem.* **86** 3696
Drake R L 1972 in *Topics in Current Aerosol Research* ed G M Hidy and J R Brock **3** 201
Ernst M H, Hendriks E M and Ziff R M 1982 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **15** L743
Hendriks E M, Ernst M H and Ziff R M 1983 *J. Stat. Phys.* to appear
Klett J D 1975 *J. Atmos. Sci.* **32** 380
Leyvraz F and Tschudi H R 1981 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **14** 3389
McLeod J B 1964 *London Math. Soc.* **14** 445
Spouge J L 1983a *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **16** 767
—— 1983b *Macromolecules* **16** 121
—— 1983c *Macromolecules* to appear
Ziff R M 1980 *J. Stat. Phys.* **23** 241
Ziff R M and Stell G 1980 *J. Chem. Phys.* **73** 3492